Part 2 – Remarks

The purpose of this Amendment is to obtain consideration of claims 23-35 which were not entered in response to an Amendment After Allowance under 37 CFR 1.312, filed August 18, 2005. A Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and a Petition to Withdraw the Application from Issue and the fees therefor, have been submitted with this Amendment.

Claims 1-36 are now pending. Original claims 1-22 were originally allowed in a first office action of June 9, 2005. The Amendment under 37 CFR 1.312 amended claims 4, 8, 9, 16 and 21, and those amendments were entered on August 30, 2005. The listing of claims in Part 1 hereof shows the entered and allowed form of those claims 4, 8, 9, 16 and 21. Claims 23-35, which were previously submitted with the Amendment under 37 CFR 1.312, were not entered, and the listing in Part 1 indicates their Not Entered status. New claim 36 has been added.

In view of the RCE, it is respectfully requested that claims 23-36 be considered and allowed.

Claim 7 has been amended to correct a matter of form, to make it consistent with claim 1, line 22.

Claim 12 has been amended to correct a typographical error.

New claims 23-27 duplicate original dependent claims 2-6, respectively, except that claims 23-27 depend on allowed claim 15 while claims 2-6 depend on allowed claim 1.

Claim 28 has been amended to depend from new claim 36. The previous form of claim 28 contained an inadequate antecedent basis for its recitation relating to the high pressure. The antecedent basis for claim 28 is provided by new claim 36. Claim 28 is essentially a duplicate of original claim 7, and the above amendment to claim 7 has also been carried through to amended claim 28. Accordingly, the listing of claim 28 indicates that it is both not entered and presently amended.

Serial No. 10/700,296

Claims 29-35 duplicate original dependent claims 8-14, respectively, except that claims 29-35 depend directly or indirectly on allowed claim 15 while claims 8-14 depend on allowed claim 1.

Because claim 33 is a substantial duplicate of claim 12, and because claim 12 has been amended, that amendment has been carried through to claim 33.

Accordingly, claim 33 is listed as both not entered and presently amended.

New claim 36 presents subject matter from lines 19-22 of allowed claim 1, as a separate claim dependent on allowed claim 15. New claim 36 thus provides an antecedent basis for the recitations in claim 28.

Because claims 23-36 substantially duplicate or add subject matter from previous claims, no new matter has been added.

In view of the substantial similarity to existing subject matter of which has been previously allowed, it is believed that the amended, not-entered and new claims should be allowable along with the other allowed claims in the application.

The Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned by telephone to discuss any issues which may inhibit the immediate allowance of the application.

Respectfully submitted.

Date: 9/12/05

John R. Ley

Registration No. 27,453

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

JOHN R. LEY, LLC 5299 DTC Boulevard, Suite 610 Greenwood Village, CO 80111-3321

Telephone: (303) 740-9000

Facsimile:

(303) 740-9042